(C.1436)

App. F. IX-4

1949-50
(CB)

File No. 330-49

Serial Nos. 9

Previous References.

Later References.
CB. 328-50-27

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. One

Part B for March 50

SUBJECT

M. L. A. Budget Session March 1950.
Resolution moved by Shri M. V. Jois Regarding
the properties of His Highness the
Raigharamulk of Mysore

DM 16/3

Serial No.

1884
21.3.87

Chief minister)

The resolution was not moved by the member. may be filed. -

RCa.
22/3

P.S: A submission made to H.E. may please be put up in clearing the ~~the~~ cense of the matter has taken.

RCa
24/3/87

Submitted to His Highness.

In continuation of my Submission Note No.1064, dated the 21st instant regarding Resolution No.1 of the Budget Session of the Legislative Assembly tabled by Sri M.N. Jois in respect of the properties of His Highness the Rajpramukh of Mysore, I submit herewith, for the gracious information of His Highness that the above Resolution was not moved by the member.

K. Chengalaraya Reddy.

CHIEF MINISTER.

Bangalore,
March 22nd, 1950.

C. 1436/49-50.

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Resolution No. 1, tabled by Sri M.N. Jois regarding the properties of His Highness the Rajpramukh of Mysore.

Order.

1063.

K.N.
23/3/50.

Chief Secy.)
K.Cl.
25/3

25/3

MYSORE LEGISLATURE.

OFFICE OF THE MYSORE LEGISLATURE,
OLD PUBLIC OFFICES,

No. ...30.29/L.A

Bangalore, dated 22nd March 1950.

FROM

THE SECRETARY,
MYSORE LEGISLATURE.



To

The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Mysore,
BANGALORE.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.12427/C.B. dated 20th March 1950, about the disallowance of the Resolution tabled by Sri M.N. Jois and balloted as No.1, I have the honour to state that the Hon'ble Speaker has considered the recommendation contained in the letter under reference. He is of the opinion that the language the resolution does not indicate that the properties erred to in the resolution are the private properties of the Rajpramukh of Mysore. So long the debate on the resolution is confined to properties belonging to the Government in the possession of the Palace, the debate would be perfectly in order and the resolution would be admissible. I am to state that the Hon'ble Speaker proposes to inform the House that the discussion on the resolution should be confined to properties of the Government in the possession of the Palace. In the circumstances, the Hon'ble Speaker does not feel that he would be justified in disallowing the resolution.

Yours faithfully,

Secretary,
Mysore Legislature.

Today
23/3/50

Chief Secy
The Palace in the Concerned
23/3/50

12427/47

To

The Secretary,
Mysore Legislature,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

MYSORE LEGISIATIVE ASSEMBLY

Budget Session 1950.

Resolution No.17.

(Tabled by Sri M.N.Jois, Mysore District-General)

I am directed to state that the personal rights, privileges, dignities including the privy purse and personal properties of His Highness the Maharaja are regulated according to, and guaranteed by, a Covenant between His Highness the Maharaja and the Government of India and that, so far as the Government is aware, His Highness has not acquired any rights, title or interest in immovable properties as Rajpramukh of Mysore, which Office he assumed on the 26th January 1950.

In the circumstances, it is not clear whether the Legislative Assembly would be within its rights to discuss a Resolution relating to what is presumably the private and personal affair of His Highness the Maharaja and which is the

subject of an agreement with the Govt. of India.

Apart from the technical reasons pointed out above, a public discussion on the personal questions of the Head of the State, may offend against the spirit of the Constitution.

I am to request you kindly to bring these facts to the notice of the Hon'ble Speaker with a view to examine the admissibility of the Resolution and to disallow it if there is no objection.

The decision of the Hon'ble Speaker may kindly be communicated as early as possible.

Yours faithfully,

16/2073.

18/2/50.

Chief Secretary to Govt.

18.3.50

ZXZXZXZXZXZXZXZXZXZXZXZXZXZXZZ

12497/C5

20th March /50

ZXZXZXZXZZ
ZXZXZXZXZXZXZZ

The Secretary,
Mysore Legislature,
BANGALORE.

MYSORE LEGISLATIVE ASSEMBLY

Budget Session 1950.

Resolution No.17.

(Tabled by Sri M.N. Jois, Mysore District - General).

I am directed to state that the personal rights, privileges, dignities including the privy purse and personal properties of His Highness the Maharaja are regulated according to, and guaranteed by, a Covenant between His Highness the Maharaja and the Government of India and that, so far as the Government is aware, His Highness has not acquired any rights, title or interest in immovable properties as Rajpramukh of Mysore, which Office he assumed on the 26th January 1950.

In the circumstances, it is not clear whether the Legislative Assembly would be within its rights to discuss a Resolution relating to what is presumably the private and personal affair of His Highness the Maharaja and which is the subject of an agreement with the Government of India.

Apart from the technical reasons pointed out above, a public discussion on the personal questions of the Head of the State may offend against the spirit of the Constitution.

I am to request you kindly to bring these facts to the notice of the Hon'ble Speaker with a view to examining the admissibility of the Resolution and to disallowing it if there is no objection.

The decision of the Hon'ble Speaker may kindly be communicated as early as possible.

Yours faithfully,

20/3/1950.

Chief Secretary to Government.

CONFIDENTIAL

Chief Secretary's instructions

Resolution No.1 tabled by Sri M.N. Jois, regarding the properties of His Highness the Rajpramukh of Mysore.

Rules,

References, precedents, etc,* helpful for disallowing the resolution may kindly be looked into and the result communicated as early as possible, not later than the afternoon of tomorrow the 21st March 1950.

KSnR
20/3/50.

LAW SECRETARY)

(*) including rulings given in Parliament

20.3.50.

A note is submitted for consideration

Secy
21/3/50

Law Secy
21